



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

M4

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,799	05/25/2001	Lincoln Rodon	41235-066USPT	4515
7590	03/17/2004		EXAMINER	
Daniel G. Nguyen Jenkens & Gilchrist A Professional Corporation 1100 Louisiana, Suite 1800 Houston, TX 77002			O CONNOR, GERALD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/865,799	Applicant(s) Rodon
	Examiner O'Connor	Art Unit 3627

MW

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) O'Connor

(3) _____

(2) Nguyen (Reg. No. 42,933)

(4) _____

Date of Interview March 15, 2004

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 6-10

Identification of prior art discussed:

Ahlstrom et al. (US 4,862,357)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed breadth/scope of claims relative to the "threshold" element, as well as the nature of potential amendments to overcome the "threshold" disclosed in the applied prior art (threshold of the number/value of each score value displayed vs. threshold of the number/value of the quantity of score value results displayed).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

GERALD O'CONNOR
PATENT EXAMINER


 (3-15-04)
 Examiner's signature, if required